Multiple PP-remnants in Spanish Pseudostripping: The interaction between NP- and TP-ellipsis

Spanish pseudostripping constructions like the ones shown in (1) have been argued to involve movement of a focalized DP/PP remnant (marked in bold) outside of the ellipsis site followed by optional TP ellipsis (marked with strikethrough) (Depiante 2000, Vicente 2006). In this work, I argue that not all pseudostripping remnants can be uniformly analyzed as being fronted alone, as previous work has suggested. I provide evidence that suggests that while remnants conformed of a single PP coming from a DP domain (2a) can be easily accounted for by proposing extraction of the PP outside of the ellipsis site, multiple PP-remnants (2b) are better explained as the result of fronting the whole DP that contains them. The fronted DP can then undergo NP-ellipsis, leaving the N-head unpronounced, but preserving multiple PPs at the left of the structure (3).

(1)

- a. Juan no leyó Hamlet, pero $[[El\ Quijote]_j \ [\Sigma P Si \ [TP lo leyó t_j]]]$ Juan not read Hamlet, but $El\ Quijote$ yes it read 'Juan didn't read Hamlet, but he did $[Tead]\ El\ Quijote'$ (Depiante 2000: 101)
- la tienda, pero mercado] b. Xochitl fue a [[al $\sum_{P} no$ $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{TP}{TP} & \frac{fue}{t_i} \end{bmatrix}$ Xochitl went to the store but to.the market not went 'Xochitl went to the store, but not to the market'

(2)

- a. Neza comió tacos de suadero, pero **de carnitas** no (comió tacos) Neza ate tacos of beef, but of pork not ate tacos. 'Neza ate beef tacos but not pork [tacos]'
- b. Neza comió tacos [PPobj de suadero] [PPauth de Doña Chonita], Neza ate tacos of beef of Mrs. Chonita

pero [[tacos [PPobj de carnitas]] [PPauth de Don Pepe]] no (comió) (*tacos) but tacos of pork of Mr. Pepe not (ate) (tacos)

'Neza ate Mrs. Chonita's beef tacos, but not Mr. Pepe's pork (tacos)'

Evidence in favour of the DP-fronting analysis comes from the fact that, in non-elliptical constructions, N-heads can be pronounced *in situ* if a single PP within the same DP domain has been fronted. However, they are ungrammatical in the same position when multiple PPs have undergone movement. The fact that N-heads can be pronounced *in situ* in some contexts also dismisses proposals that assumed focalized elements in these constructions to be base-generated above TP and not lower (Cinque 1990, Morris 2008).

To account for the difference between the two types of PP-remnants, I follow Ticio (2005, 2010) and assume that object-PPs in Spanish are merged in the sister position of an N-head, while other PPs (author-PPs and possessors-PPs) are merged in higher projections within the DP. In pseudostripping constructions, any single PP can be extracted from the DP and —more importantly— from the pseudostripping ellipsis site: TP. Multiple PPs, however, cannot be extracted as they do not form a constituent together within the DP. Hence, the whole DP has to be fronted as a mechanism to avoid non-constituent movement. The DP can then undergo NP-ellipsis, which I assume targets an NP containing an N-head and an object-PP, but not other PPs located higher. Object-PPs —as in (2b)— can survive NP-ellipsis by moving out of ellipsis site but within the DP (Ticio 2005, 2010). According to this idea, multiple PP-remnants in pseudostripping constructions are the result of two ellipsis operations: an NP-ellipsis targeting N-heads and a pseudostripping operation targeting TP.

References

- Cinque, G. (1990). Types of A'-Dependencies. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Depiante, Marcela. 2000. The Syntax of Deep and Surface Anaphora: A Study of Null Complement Anaphora and Stripping/Bare Argument Ellipsis. Doctoral dissertation, University of Connecticut.
- Morris, Amanda. 2008. *Polarity Ellipsis and Negative Stripping*, Ms. Available at http://babel.ucsc.edu/~hank/ PolarityEllipsisandNegStripping.pdf
- Ticio, Emma. 2005. NP-Ellipsis in Spanish, In *Selected Proceedings of the 7th Hispanic Linguistics Symposium*, ed. David Eddington, 128-141. Somerville: Cascadilla Proceedings Project.
- Ticio, Emma. 2010. Locality Domains in the Spanish determiner phrase, Dordrecht/New York: Springer.
- Vicente, Luis. 2006. Short negative replies in Spanish. *Linguistics in the Neatherlands*, 23: 199-211.
- Yoshida, Masaya, Chizuru Nakao and Iván Ortega-Santos. 2012. On the Syntax of Why-Stripping. In *Proceedings of the 30th West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics*, eds. Nathan Arnett and Ryan Bennett, 270-280. Somerville: Cascadilla Proceedings Project.
- Yoshida, Masaya, Chizuru Nakao and Iván Ortega-Santos. 2015. On the Syntax of Why-Stripping. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory*, 33: 1439-1471.